

REMARKS:

Claims 1-15 were pending in this Application. In the Notice of Allowability dated October 8th, 2004, the Examiner has withdrawn claims 1-6 and 13 by Examiner's Amendment, and has allowed claims 7-12 and 14-15. The Examiner has also objected to the Oath/Declaration as defective, and has noted in item 3 ("Assignee Name ...") of the form entitled "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" (PTOL 85, rev. 09/04) that an assignee is not identified.

The Applicant confirms that claims 7-12 and 14-15 (The Examiner's group II claims) were elected for prosecution, without prejudice or traverse, and agrees with the Examiner's Amendment noted above.

Attached hereto as Appendix A is what the undersigned certifies is a true copy of the Declaration as filed with this application. The final paragraph of that Declaration, at the base of numbered page 2 and immediately prior to the inventor signatures at numbered page 3, is a statement commensurate with 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 and nearly identical to the statement recited on PTO/SB/01 (08-03) (which is reproduced at M.P.E.P. § 602). As the filed Declaration is in lieu of an Oath and satisfies the statutory/regulatory requirements, no notary seal is deemed necessary, and the Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection as to defective oath.


Item 3 of the PTOL 85, "Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due", recites that no assignee for the present application has been identified. Attached as Appendix B is what the undersigned certifies is a true copy of the Assignment as filed with this application. Attached as Appendix C is what the undersigned certifies is a true copy of a "Notice of Recordation of Assignment Document" for the present application, and a true copy of the Applicant's Assignment cover sheet as annotated by the U.S. Patent Office. Appendices B and C show that the invention, which is described in the subject application, was assigned in total to Nokia Corp. of Espoo Finland. The Applicant requests that the assignee be reflected in the issued patent.

The Applicant appreciates the Examiner's favorable review of the elected claims, and contends that this paper resolves the objection as to defective oath. The Examiner is

Appl. No. 10/054,568
Amdt. Dated October 18, 2004
Reply to Notice of Allowability dated October 8, 2004

respectfully requested to contact the undersigned in the event that the objection to the Oath/Declaration is maintained, or if issuance will be delayed for any other reason apart from payment of the issue fee.

Respectfully submitted:



Gerald J. Stanton
Reg. No.: 46,008

October 18, 2004
Date

Customer No. 29683
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP
4 Research Drive
Shelton, CT 06484-6212
Phone: (203) 925-9400
Facsimile: (203) 944-0245
Email: gstanton@hspatent.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

October 18, 2004
Date


Ann Okrentowich